

STEPHANIE M. HINDS (CABN 154284)
Acting United States Attorney

HALLIE HOFFMAN (CABN 210020)
Chief, Criminal Division

SCOTT D. JOINER (CABN 223313)
Assistant United States Attorneys

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
San Francisco, California 94102-3495
Telephone: (415) 436-7200
FAX: (415) 436-7234
scott.joiner@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	NO. CR 20-MJ-70028
)	NO. CR 20-MJ-70076
Plaintiff,)	
)	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING AND
v.)	EXCLUDE TIME FROM JUNE 22, 2021 TO JULY
)	27, 2021; [PROPOSED] ORDER
MOHAMMED COLIN NURU,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

In light of the COVID-19 pandemic, the complexity of the case, the time available for indictment, the above-referenced matters were previously continued and time excluded to June 22, 2021. Considering ongoing limitations, the parties now request that the matters be continued to July 27, 2021.

It is further stipulated by and between counsel for the United States and counsel for defendant Mohammed Nuru, that time be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act from June 22, 2021 through July 27, 2021. Defendant Nuru waives the time for a preliminary hearing on each Complaint under Rule 5.1 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure through July 27, 2021. Pursuant to stipulation, the Court previously excluded time under the Speedy Trial Act through and including June 22, 2021, in light of the complexity of the cases – which the government represents involves more than 1,500 recorded calls

STIPULATION TO EXCLUDE TIME AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
CR 20-MJ-70028; CR 20-MJ-70076

1 or conversations and thousands of pages of documents – the time available for indictment, and for
2 effective preparation of counsel.

3 For the same reasons, including the time available for indictment during the current pandemic,
4 the parties stipulate and agree that excluding time from June 22, 2021 through July 27, 2021, in both
5 matters, will allow for the effective preparation of counsel and is appropriate based on the complexity of
6 the cases and the amount of time necessary to return and file an indictment under the circumstances
7 within the time specified by 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b). *See* 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii),
8 3161(h)(7)(B)(iii), 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv); *see also United States v. Pete*, 525 F.3d 844, 852-53 (9th Cir.
9 2008) (“the STA makes no distinction regarding the applicability of the exclusions under § 3161(h)(1) to
10 the pre-indictment period (governed by § 3161(b)) and the pretrial period (governed by §§ 3161(c) and
11 (e)).”); *United States v. Murray*, 771 F.2d 1324, 1328 (9th Cir. 1985) (“In cases of relative complexity,
12 with multiple defendants and ongoing investigations such as here, it may be quite unreasonable to expect
13 the preparation and return of an indictment within thirty days... In the case at bar, efficiency and
14 economy were definitely served by the sixty day extension.”).

15 The parties further stipulate and agree that the ends of justice served by excluding the time from
16 June 22, 2021 through July 27, 2021, from computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweigh the best
17 interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(ii)-(iv).

18 SO STIPULATED.

19
20 DATED: June 21, 2021

/s/
SCOTT D. JOINER
Assistant United States Attorney

21
22
23 DATED: June 21, 2021

/s/
ISMAIL RAMSEY
RAMSEY & EHRLICH, LLP
Counsel for Defendant Mohammed Nuru

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER

Pursuant to stipulation, the appearance currently set for June 22, 2021 is HEREBY CONTINUED to July 27, 2021.

Based upon the facts set forth in the stipulation of the parties and for good cause shown, the Court finds that failing to exclude the time from June 22, 2021 through July 27, 2021, would unreasonably deny defense counsel and the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The Court also finds that excluding the time from June 22, 2021 through July 27, 2021, is appropriate in light of the complexity of the case, which the government represents involves more than 1,500 recorded calls or conversations and thousands of pages of documents, and the amount of time necessary to return and file an indictment under the circumstances, including the current pandemic and shelter-in-place orders, within the time specified by 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b). *See* 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii), 3161(h)(7)(B)(iii); *see also United States v. Pete*, 525 F.3d 844, 852-53 (9th Cir. 2008) (“the STA makes no distinction regarding the applicability of the exclusions under § 3161(h)(1) to the pre-indictment period (governed by § 3161(b)) and the pretrial period (governed by §§ 3161(c) and (e)).”); *United States v. Murray*, 771 F.2d 1324, 1328 (9th Cir. 1985) (“In cases of relative complexity, with multiple defendants and ongoing investigations such as here, it may be quite unreasonable to expect the preparation and return of an indictment within thirty days... In the case at bar, efficiency and economy were definitely served by the sixty day extension.”).

The Court further finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the time from June 22, 2021 through July 27, 2021, from computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Therefore, and with the consent of the parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time from June 22, 2021 through July 27, 2021, shall be excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act. 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(ii)-(iv).

The Court also orders that the time for a preliminary hearing be extended under Rule 5.1 of the Federal

//

//

//

Rules of Criminal Procedure and the time within which to conduct a preliminary hearing is waived with the consent of the Defendant through July 27, 2021.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 21, 2021


HON. JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE